| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/070,338 | KARAM ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | GREG BENGZON | 2444 |
| Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>GREG BENGZON</u> . | (3) Matthew Ellsworth, Reg.56345. | |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 September 2009</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Claims 1,24,54 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Examiner Bengzon discussed possible claim amendments to overcome USC 101 issues and further prosecution towards allowance. Mr. Ellsworth presented claim amendments and indicated authorization for Examiner's Amendments. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer | he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /G. B./ | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (A | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | tive Signature – if appropriate) |